



Department
for Education

Children's homes quality standards

**Report on the consultation and the
Government's response**

March 2015

Contents

Introduction	4
Policy aims	4
Summary of responses received and the government's response	5
Main findings from the consultation and the Government's response	6
Interpreting the responses	6
Question analysis	7
Regulation 5 - Engaging with the wider system to ensure each child's needs are met	7
Regulation 6 - Quality and purpose of care standard	8
Regulation 7 - The children's wishes and feelings standard	10
Regulation 8 - The education standard	11
Regulation 9 - The enjoyment and achievement standard	13
Regulation 10 - The health and well-being standard	14
Regulation 11 - The positive relationships standard	16
Regulation 12 - The protection of children standard	18
Regulation 13 - The leadership and management standard	19
Regulation 14 - The care planning standard	21
Regulation 22 - Restriction of liberty	22
Proposals relating to parts 3 – 7 of the Regulations	24
Registered persons	24
Staffing	26
Policies, records, complaints and notifications	27
Management of homes	29
Independent visitors	29
Professional Judgement	30
Welfare regarded and records retained	31
Questions on costs and impact of introducing quality standards	33
Impact of introducing quality standards	33
Cost of implementing quality standards	34
General comments and streamlining the Regulations	35
Clarification and definition of language and terms	35

Next steps	37
Annex A – List of Respondents	38
Annex B – Detailed statistics	44

Introduction

In autumn 2014 the Department for Education issued a consultation on its plans to revise the Children's Homes Regulations 2001 and introduce a set of new "Quality Standards" that children's homes must meet.

The proposals covered:

- **Revised Regulations** consisting of:
 - the new Quality Standards Regulations; and
 - essential administrative and management Regulations.
- **A Guide to the Regulations** that would replace the current National Minimum Standards for children's homes.

The consultation ran between the 19 September 2014 and 14 November 2014.

Policy aims

The introduction of the new Regulations and Quality Standards had three key aims:

- to introduce a regulatory framework that sets high standards for residential care and ensures that homes offer our vulnerable children the support they need to achieve positive outcomes;
- to ensure that children's homes provide high quality care, set high aspirations for children and enable them to achieve their full potential, as the best homes already are; and
- to support innovation in the sector and enable skilled professionals to use their judgement to provide care that meets each child's individual needs.

Summary of responses received and the government's response

The consultation included an online consultation and 9 consultation events, drawing people from across the English regions. A total of 396 people attended the events. Those attending were primarily from local authorities and private children's homes providers.

The Office of the Children's Commissioner facilitated a number of consultation events with children and young people, as did the National Children's Bureau and The Who? Cares Trust. We would particularly like to thank the children and young people who took part in this and generously gave us their time and their views.

We received a total of 185 responses to the online consultation. The largest single group of respondents was "*children's homes private sector providers with 5+ homes*" (43 of the 185 respondents). Below is a table with a detailed breakdown of respondent numbers and types.

We welcome the number and breadth of responses received.

Category of respondent	Number of respondents
Children's home private sector provider 5+ homes:	43
Other (e.g. sector representative organisations)	36
Children's home private sector provider 1 home:	33
Children's home private sector provider 2-4 homes:	21
Local Authority (please specify service):	9
Educating organisation (including schools):	8
Children's home local authority provider 2-4 homes:	8
Children's home charity / voluntary sector provider 5+homes:	7
Children's home local authority provider 5+ homes:	5
Children's home local authority provider 1 home:	4
Voluntary sector children's organisation:	4
Health service organisation:	3
Children's home charity / voluntary sector provider 2-4 homes:	3
Care leaver (who has lived in a children's home):	1

Main findings from the consultation and the Government's response

Respondents supported the principles of the Quality Standards but had some concerns about the detail of the Regulations and content of the Guide.

A number of overarching themes were identified across the consultation:

- The need to clarify and define more precisely the terms used in the Regulations and Guide;
- The need for further explanation in the Guide on some aspects of the regulatory requirements; and
- The need to improve how the Regulations and Guide work for children with disabilities or special needs.

As well as responding to the above we have worked to make the Quality Standards and Guide more child focused throughout.

Given that respondents placed such strong emphasis on the clarification and definition of terms and language during the consultation, this response includes a separate section (page 35) covering this theme rather than addressing it repeatedly. However, where this issue was raised it is highlighted in that question's key findings.

All respondents highlighted concerns about how the Guide and Standards would relate to the inspection framework and the arbitration of potential disputes between providers, Ofsted and others. Ofsted, as an independent arm's length body, conducted their own consultation on their inspection framework for children's homes between 20 November 2014 and 13 January 2015. This set out how inspectors will make inspection judgements, how they anticipate inspecting against the Quality Standards and detailed information on the proposed criteria for each judgement area. Their proposals were fully informed by our work on the Quality Standards and early headline findings from this consultation. Ofsted published the outcome of their consultation in February 2015.

Interpreting the responses

A cluster of 95 identical responses received from independent children's homes providers were identified as a campaign. These have been treated as individual responses in our analysis of the questions but the impact of the campaign on the overall results for the consultation can be seen in Annex B, where the results for each question are presented both including and excluding campaign responses. The individual responses have been weighted to take in to account that these respondents are providers of children's homes from the private sector.

Question analysis¹

Regulation 5 - Engaging with the wider system to ensure each child's needs are met

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
Question 1 (a) Do you agree with the inclusion of a regulation about engaging with the wider system to ensure that each child's needs are met?	178 (99%)	NA	0 (0%)	1 (1%)
Question 1 (b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 5 – engaging with the wider system to ensure each child's needs are met?	49 (28%)	17 (10%)	108 (61%)	2 (1%)
Question 1 (c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to Regulation 5?	42 (24%)	24 (14%)	107 (61%)	1 (1%)
Qualitative Questions				
1(d) If draft Regulation 5 (engaging with the wider system) or relevant information in the Guide needs further development, tell us what is needed in the box below.				
1(e) If you disagree with the inclusion of Regulation 5 (engaging with the wider system) or relevant information included in the Guide, tell us why in the box below.				

Overview of responses

Most people supported the inclusion of a regulation on engaging with the wider system but the majority of respondents had concerns with both Regulation 5 as drafted and the information included in the Guide.

Key issues raised:

- Other authorities/agencies should have the same duties to engage;

¹ Percentages are rounded to the nearest percent. Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

- Responsibilities of other authorities/ agencies and the ability for homes to challenge them should be made clearer;
- Monitoring/auditing home’s engagement with other agencies; and
- Being able to advocate on behalf of the child when engaging the wider system.

Government response

We welcome the strong support for having a standard requiring children’s homes to actively engage with others in the wider system to ensure children receive the support they need. One respondent commented:

“There must be engagement with the wider system and formalisation of that is welcomed”.

We accept that in the event of emergency placements, the home may find it difficult to fully engage with wider partners immediately. The Guide has been amended to explain that in such circumstances it may not be possible to have prior engagement with all relevant persons in respect of the child being placed, but that this engagement should take place as soon as possible.

A number of respondents (including campaign respondents) argued that wider authorities/ partners should have the same duties to engage but their duties were not within the scope of this set of regulatory changes. The Guide has been amended to be clearer on the extent of the challenge homes are expected to show when others in the wider system who do not engage or meet the needs of children in their care.

Regulation 6 - Quality and purpose of care standard

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
Question 2 (a) Do you agree with the inclusion of a new standard on quality and purpose of care in the new regulations?	67 (38%)	NA	2 (1%)	108 (61%)
Question 2 (b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 6 – the quality and purpose of care standard?	52 (30%)	15 (9%)	1 (1%)	108 (61%)

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
Question 2 (c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the quality and purpose of care standard?	47 (27%)	17 (10%)	112 (64%)	0 (0%)
Qualitative Questions				
2(d) If the quality and purpose of care standard draft regulation or relevant information in the Guide needs further development, tell us what is needed in the box below.				
2(e) If you disagree with the quality and purpose of care standard draft regulation or relevant information in the Guide, tell us why in the box below.				

Overview of responses

Most people were unsure about including this standard in the new regulations and about Regulation 6 as drafted. A majority also disagreed with the information in the Guide.

Key issues raised:

- Homes should have a therapeutic focus to care where caring for children affected by trauma and abuse;
- Guide should promote more opportunity and responsibility for children to manage their spending money; and
- Proposal does not describe the quality and purpose of care, it describes arrangements for care.

Government response

The majority of respondents were unsure about this proposal; however some felt it would support greater focus on the needs of the child. Children involved in the debate felt that a *“children’s home should feel like and look like a home”*.

We note the comments raised on ensuring that homes should also have a therapeutic focus for children who are affected by trauma and abuse. Whilst we cannot specify a particular approach to working with those affected by trauma and abuse, the Guide has been amended to reference that staff should be prepared and able to listen to children who want to talk about past experiences.

Campaign respondents commented that the standard does not sufficiently describe the quality and purpose of care, referring to the need for greater definition on terms like ‘appropriate’, ‘reasonable’ and ‘shared understanding’. We believe it would be inappropriate to prescribe the quality of care that each home should offer, the registered person will be best placed to arrange this, in accordance with the home’s statement of purpose, to meet an individual child’s needs. However, we have improved the wording of the standard and information in the Guide to give greater understanding.

Regulation 7 - The children’s wishes and feelings standard

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
3 (a) Do you agree with the inclusion of a standard on children’s wishes and feelings in the new regulations?	175 (99%)	NA	0 (0%)	2 (1%)
3 (b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 7 – the children’s wishes and feelings standard?	51 (29%)	124 (70%)	2 (1%)	1 (1%)
3 (c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the children’s wishes and feelings standard?	37 (21%)	32 (18%)	106 (61%)	0 (0%)
Qualitative Questions				
3(d) If the children’s wishes and feelings standard draft regulation or relevant information in the Guide needs further development, tell us what is needed in the box below.				
3(e) If you disagree with the children’s wishes and feelings standard draft regulation or relevant information in the Guide, tell us why in the box below.				

Overview of responses

Most people agreed with including this standard in the new regulations but a majority felt that Regulation 7 as drafted needed further development. Nearly two thirds of people disagreed with the information in the Guide.

Key issues raised:

- Ensuring the children have access to advocacy support was important to respondents;

- The ability of disabled children to express their wishes and feelings needs to be taken in to account; and
- The proposals do not sufficiently explain the practice required to meet this Standard.

Government response

Respondents strongly supported the inclusion of a standard on children’s wishes and feelings in the new regulations, one respondent commented:

“This strengthens good practice in listening to young people and allowing them to shape the culture and care they receive in the home”.

A common theme in responses was the importance of advocacy support for children. We believe that all children should have access to advocacy support and where practical children should have a choice in who provides this. This includes, for looked-after children and others where appropriate, access to an independent advocate, particularly when the child’s needs are not being met by others in the system. The Guide has been improved to make clear how homes are expected to promote and communicate their right to advocacy, and how they should support children to access it.

We also note the concern on disabled children being able to express their wishes and feelings. Campaign respondents in particular questioned how this proposal encompasses children who may be withdrawn or have difficulties communicating. We acknowledge the issues raised concerning children with communication difficulties and have improved both the Regulations and the Guide, consulting with experts in the field to develop this.

Regulation 8 - The education standard

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
4(a) Do you agree with the inclusion of a standard on education in the new regulations?	172 (99%)	NA	2 (1%)	0 (0%)
4(b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 8 – the education standard?	36 (21%)	22 (13%)	108 (64%)	3 (2%)

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
4(c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the education standard?	32 (19%)	25 (15%)	109 (65%)	2 (1%)
Qualitative Questions				
4(d) If the education standard draft regulation or relevant information in the Guide needs further development, tell us what is needed in the box below.				
4(e) If you disagree with the education standard draft regulation or relevant information in the Guide, tell us why in the box below.				

Overview of responses

Most people supported including this standard in the new Regulations, although most respondents had concerns with both Regulation 8 as drafted and the information in the Guide.

Key issues raised:

- Working with children who have experienced trauma to address this before education commenced was important to respondents;
- Role of Virtual School Heads needs to be included in the Guide;
- Greater clarity is needed in defining measurable progress; and
- Local authority engagement is important to ensure children’s educational needs are being met.

Government response

There was much debate among respondents about this standard; defining and measuring progress was a particular issue. Many felt that it was important that expectations on progress needed to be carefully tailored to each child’s circumstances.

“Emphasise the importance of ‘measurable progress’, taking the focus off solely formal attainment and acknowledge successes in other areas”.

We acknowledge the point raised about children who have experienced trauma and the expectations we may have on their educational attendance and achievement. Participation in education should always be subject to each child’s appropriate starting

point, and in line with their relevant plan. In the case of children who have experienced trauma or mental health difficulties, work may need to take place first that will allow them to positively engage in educational activities. Where possible we have improved wording in the Regulations and Guide.

Respondents also commented that local authorities need to be held to account to ensure that the child’s educational needs are being met. Local authorities do have duties placed upon them to promote the education of their looked-after children and are expected to comply with these. Homes will need to ensure they have proactive relationships with appropriate local schools and educational support services, challenging them where necessary when the child’s needs are not being met.

Regulation 9 - The enjoyment and achievement standard

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
5(a) Do you agree with the inclusion of a standard in enjoyment and achievement in the new regulations?	65 (37%)	NA	0 (0%)	109 (63%)
5(b) Do you agree with the draft Regulation 9 – the enjoyment and achievement standard?	50 (29%)	10 (6%)	108 (63%)	3 (2%)
5(c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the enjoyment and achievement standard?	42 (25%)	17 (10%)	109 (64%)	2 (1%)
Qualitative Questions				
5(d) If the enjoyment and achievement standard draft regulation or relevant information in the Guide needs further development, tell us what is needed in the box below.				
5(e) If you disagree with the enjoyment and achievement standard draft regulation or relevant information in the Guide, tell us why in the box below.				

Overview of responses

Most people were uncertain about including this standard in the new Regulations. A majority had concerns with both Regulation 9 as drafted and the information in the Guide.

Key issues raised:

- Concern that paragraph 6.12 of the Guide implied that some children should be denied access to community friendships and activities because of their disability or illness; and
- A reference to children being able to make choices about the interests they would like to pursue should be included in the Guide.

Government response

We note respondents' concerns around ensuring that children with disabilities or illnesses can benefit appropriately from community activities. In response, we have amended the Guide to include reference to the registered person working in conjunction with any relevant person (i.e. parent or school) to help assess what is achievable and safe for each child.

A number of respondents commented that children should have a role in deciding what interests and activities they would like to do. In response, the Guide now emphasises that opportunities for children that enable them to grow and develop should be identified and provided *"in accordance with their wishes and feelings"*.

We acknowledge the useful comments received on supporting children to build friendships in their local community and home area. Reflecting this, the Regulation on building friendships has been moved to the standard on positive relationships, where it is part of a broader approach to friendships and relationships with others.

Regulation 10 - The health and well-being standard

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
6(a) Do you agree with the inclusion of a standard on health and well-being in the new regulations?	175 (100%)	NA	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
6(b) Do you agree with the draft Regulation 10 – the health and well-being in the new Regulations?	40 (23%)	22 (13%)	110 (64%)	1 (1%)
6(c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the health and well-being standard?	40 (23%)	130 (76%)	2 (1%)	0 (0%)

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
Qualitative Questions				
<p>6(d) If the health and well-being standard draft regulation or relevant information in the Guide needs further development, tell us what is needed in the box below.</p> <p>6(e) If you disagree with the health and well-being standard draft regulation or relevant information in the Guide, tell us why in the box below.</p>				

Overview of responses

Every respondent supported including this standard in the new regulations but a majority had concerns with the Regulation 10 as drafted. Over three quarters of respondents felt that the information in the Guide needed further development.

Key issues raised:

- Greater clarity is needed about funding, decision making and registered persons' obligations to ensure children have access to various health services (i.e. dental, medical and psychiatric services);
- Homes should make clear their approach for children affected by abuse and neglect, particularly if they provide 'therapeutic care'; and
- Health appointments should be afforded the same respect as any other appointment.

Government response

We welcome the very strong support for having a standard on health and wellbeing in the new regulations. This topic sparked a lot of debate on the role that both homes and wider partners can play to ensure that children can get access to the right healthcare when they need it.

Homes having a clear approach to providing care for children who are recovering from abuse and neglect was one of the themes raised. Information has been included in the 'Quality and Purpose of Care standard' section of the Guide to explain what registered persons should do to help children affected by abuse and neglect. This section also sets out processes for ensuring that specific care commissioned to help these children is safe and effective.

Access to health services for children when they need it was also an issue for respondents. One respondent commented "*it is... necessary to ensure links between*

agencies is robust". Local authorities have a duty to make sure that all its looked-after children are provided with appropriate, high-quality health care. The Guide is already clear that the specific responsibilities of the home towards supporting the health of each child should be agreed with the placing authority. Staff must have a sufficient understanding of relevant health provision and also have a key role in ensuring each child's attendance at primary and secondary health services.

Campaign respondents felt the Regulation lacked clarity in certain areas, for example the meaning of informed choice and what happens if the young person does not deploy skills for a healthy lifestyle. Where possible we have addressed these concerns, amending the wording in the Regulation accordingly.

Regulation 11 - The positive relationships standard

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
7(a) Do you agree with the inclusion of a standard on positive relationships in the new regulations?	176 (99%)	NA	0 (0%)	1 (1%)
7(b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 11 – the positive relationships standard?	49 (28%)	17 (10%)	107 (62%)	0 (0%)
7(c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the positive relationships standard?	41 (24%)	22 (13%)	106 (63%)	0 (0%)
Qualitative Questions				
7(d) If the positive relationship standards draft regulation or relevant information in the Guide needs further development, tell us what is needed in the box below.				
7(e) If you disagree with the positive relationships standard draft regulation or relevant information in the Guide, tell us why in the box below.				

Overview of responses

There was very strong support for including this standard in the new regulations, although a majority of respondents had concerns with both Regulation 11 as drafted and the information in the Guide.

Key issues raised:

- This section of the Regulations and Guide needs to focus more on helping children build and maintain positive relationships rather than negative relationships and safeguarding;
- Further clarification of terms and more cross-referencing with other standards was needed;
- Bullying and restraint should be given greater prominence; and
- The role of restorative justice should be acknowledged.

Government response

Respondents really welcomed the inclusion of this standard in the Regulations, one respondent commenting,

“Strongly agree with this standard, it is extremely important that children are developing relationships with others whom they meet on their journey in care”.

However, respondents were clear this standard needed to be far more positive and focus on positive relationships rather than negative ones. Young people consulted emphasised the need for children’s homes staff to build positive relationships with young people – *“If you don’t have positive relationships how do they even know what’s going on for them?”* In response, more focus has been given to friendships and long-term relationships in the Guide. The regulations focus on the necessary skills children should gain to understand both good and bad relationships and detail a number of things that staff should do in order to build positive relationships with children.

We acknowledge the issues respondents raised regarding bullying and the definition of restraint. In response, further material has been added to the Guide on bullying and changes have been made to simplify the definition of restraint, including removing the term *‘threat of’* in the definition.

A number of respondents wanted additional material included on restorative justice. We note this but have not included additional material. While some homes do apply restorative justice approaches in their behaviour management, we believe this area should be a matter for local discretion and that decisions on a home’s approach to behaviour management should be taken by the registered person.

Regulation 12 - The protection of children standard

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
8(a) Do you agree with the inclusion of a standard on the protection of children in the new regulations?	171 (99%)	NA	0 (0%)	1 (1%)
8(b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 12 - the protection of children standard?	41 (24%)	24 (14%)	2 (1%)	103 (61%)
8(c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the protection of children standard?	37 (22%)	30 (18%)	101 (59%)	2 (1%)
Qualitative Questions				
8(d) If the protection of children standard draft regulation or relevant information in the guide needs further development, tell us what is needed in the box below.				
8(e) If you disagree with the protection of children standard draft regulation or relevant information in the guide, tell us why in the box below.				

Overview of responses

Most people supported including this standard in the new regulations, although a majority were unsure about Regulation 12 as drafted. A majority had concerns with the information in the Guide.

Key issues raised:

- Guidance on reducing risk of self-harm should apply to all children's homes; and
- Greater clarity about what should be recorded as serious incidents in childrens' case files was requested to ensure consistency and standards across the sector.

Government response

Respondents welcomed the inclusion of a standard on the protection of children in the new regulations:

“We welcome the inclusion of this standard...What makes the standard so helpful is the emphasis on partnership working”.

Respondents felt strongly that the material on reducing the risk of self-harm in secure children’s homes should apply to all homes. The Guide has been amended but will not require all homes to have a specific policy on preventing self-harm, as this was not consulted on. The Guide indicates that homes should have procedures in place that identify and counter risks of self-harm and suicide as part of their approach to Quality of Care. In response to concerns about the use of special clothing to prevent self-harm in Secure Children’s Homes, a reference has been added regarding the need for senior management oversight of any procedures used to counter self-harm or suicide.

Respondents requested greater clarity about which serious incidents need to be included in a child’s case file, aiming to improve standards and consistency of recording across the sector. We acknowledge this concern but have not provided increased prescription as homes need to judge which serious incidents should be recorded, taking into account regulatory requirements.

Campaign respondents queried what would happen if there was a difference of view between the inspector, provider, and the LADO on a serious event. The Regulation is concerned with everyone understanding their role and using their professional judgement on what action to take if there is a serious event.

Regulation 13 - The leadership and management standard

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
9(a) Do you agree with the inclusion of a standard on leadership and management in the new regulations?	170 (99%)	NA	0 (0%)	1 (1%)
9(b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 13 – the leadership and management standard?	49 (28%)	11 (6%)	110 (64%)	2 (1%)
9(c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the leadership and management standard?	40 (24%)	19 (11%)	111 (65%)	0 (0%)

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
Qualitative Questions				
<p>9(d) If the leadership and management standard draft regulation or relevant information in the guide needs further development, tell us what is needed in the box below.</p>				
<p>9(e) If you disagree with the leadership and management standard draft regulation or relevant information in the guide, tell us why in the box below.</p>				

Overview of responses

There was very strong support for including this standard in the new regulations but a majority had concerns with both Regulation 13 as drafted and the information included in the Guide.

Key issues raised:

- Preventing the negative perception of agency staff and the cap placed on number of agency staff able to be on duty at night;
- How achievement of this standard might be evidenced by homes; and
- Concern that this standard makes homes accountable for practice of other agencies outside of their control.

Government response

We welcome respondents’ strong support for the inclusion of a standard on leadership and management in the Regulations.

“Young people [we consulted] thought this was an important standard and were clear that if the leadership and management were excellent, then the rest of the care would follow”.

Respondents had strong views on agency staff and the valuable range of insights and views helped us amend this section of the Guide. The Guide now reflects a more positive view of the role of agency staff in terms of the skills and qualities they can bring to help meet the needs of children.

Respondents had concerns about how homes could evidence this standard; particularly how the terms ‘*inspirational*’; ‘*progress*’ and ‘*experiences*’ can be evidenced. Homes must evidence meeting the underpinning requirements of each quality standard. These have been made as clear as possible, for example elsewhere in the Guide, elements

such as ‘progress’ and ‘experiences’ are clearly related to individual children’s starting points.

Campaign respondents expressed concern that this standard makes homes accountable for practice of other agencies outside of their control. We do not agree with this point. The Regulations and Guide are clear that homes are accountable for their actions only and will not be held accountable for other agencies’ actions. Homes must be able to evidence having engaged with other agencies to the extent where either the child’s needs are met, or the home has exerted as much effort as is reasonably practicable to facilitate an outcome.

Regulation 14 - The care planning standard

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
10(a) Do you agree with the inclusion of a standard on care planning in the new regulations?	174 (100%)	NA	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
10(b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 14 – the care planning standard?	49 (28%)	12 (7%)	111 (64%)	1 (1%)
10(c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the care planning standard?	45 (26%)	125 (73%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)
Qualitative Questions				
10 (d) If the care planning standard draft regulation or relevant information in the guide needs further development, tell us what is needed in the box below.				
10 (e) if you disagree with the care planning standard draft regulation or relevant information in the guide, tell us why in the box below.				

Overview of responses

Every respondent supported including this standard in the new regulations but a majority had concerns with Regulation 14 as drafted. Approximately three quarters felt the information in the Guide needed further development.

Key issues raised:

- Complying with this regulation would be very difficult when making emergency placements;
- Greater consideration needs to be given to matching placements to the children already in the home and this should be referenced in the Guide;
- Guide should include a requirement for registered persons to challenge local authorities to make adequate plans for children leaving the setting; and
- The Guide needs amending to help prevent contact being cancelled unnecessarily and to include information on a broader range of digital communication technologies.

Government response

Although all respondents supported the inclusion of this standard in the Regulations, many shared valuable feedback on the difficulties this standard may present with emergency placements. Respondent had strong concerns that fulfilling the requirement to consult with partners may be difficult for emergency placements and that matching children to a home's current residents should be considered when making placements. Material covering these areas has been added to the Guide.

Respondents also raised a number of issues regarding care leavers and transition. In response, information about the need for the registered person to challenge local authorities to make adequate plans for young people leaving the setting has been added to the Guide. We have also amended Regulation 14 to place more emphasis on a child's experience of care planning, including at key transition points like leaving care.

In response to respondents' concerns about contact, the Guide has been amended to: address concerns about homes cancelling contact; take account of a broader range of digital communication; highlight the importance of contact in Secure Children's Homes and; acknowledge that safety needs to be considered when access to a mobile phone is the child's main source of communication.

Regulation 22 - Restriction of liberty

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
11(a) Do you agree with the need to amend Regulation 22 on restraint and deprivation of liberty?	163 (96%)	NA	1 (1%)	5 (3%)

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
11(b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 22 - restraint and deprivation of liberty?	33 (19%)	21 (12%)	113 (66%)	4 (2%)
11(c) Do you agree with the information in the Guide on restraint and deprivation of liberty?	30 (18%)	23 (14%)	113 (66%)	4 (2%)
Qualitative Questions				
11(d) If the restraint and deprivation of liberty draft regulation or relevant information in the Guide needs further development, tell us what is needed in the box below.				
11(e) If you disagree with the restraint and deprivation of liberty draft regulation or relevant information in the Guide, tell us why in the box below.				

Overview of responses

There was strong support for amending this regulation but a majority had concerns with both Regulation 22 as drafted and the information in the Guide.

Key issues raised:

- Respondents wanted clearer definitions for physical intervention, restraint and deprivation and restriction of liberty.

Government response

The definition of restraint generated significant feedback from respondents. In response to concerns we have removed the reference to 'threat' of restraint from the definition of restraint and have simplified it, so that it works in harmony with the regulation. We have amended the information contained in the Guide on behaviour management to include clear information about restraint practice issues, and deprivation of liberty. The term 'physical intervention' is no longer used.

Respondents wanted greater clarity on good practice regarding restraint. To enable the Guide to apply to a diverse range of settings, we cannot be overly prescriptive. However, in response to respondents' concerns we have amended the Guide to include information on the use of mechanical or chemical restraint, practice issues and records of restraint. Information on restraint in the Guide appears under the protection of children standard.

Proposals relating to parts 3 – 7 of the Regulations

Registered persons

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
12(a) Do you agree with the inclusion of regulations relating to the fitness and capacity of owners and managers of children's homes?	66 (38%)	NA	0 (0%)	107 (62%)
12(b) Do you agree with draft Regulations 27(2)(c) and 27(3) on the fitness of those acting as directors of organisations delivering children's homes?	159 (94%)	8 (5%)	1 (1%)	2 (1%)
12(c) Do you agree with draft Regulation 29(3) on the capacity of the home's manager?	158 (94%)	7 (4%)	0 (0%)	3 (2%)
12(d) Do you agree with draft Regulation 27(5) on the capacity, skills and experience of the responsible individual?	160 (95%)	6 (4%)	0 (0%)	3 (2%)
12(e) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the fitness and capacity of owner and managers?	43 (26%)	121 (72%)	1 (1%)	3 (2%)
Qualitative Questions				
12 (f) If the fitness and capacity of owners and managers regulations or relevant information in the guide needs further development, tell us what is needed in the box below.				
12 (g) If you disagree with any of the fitness and capacity of owners and managers regulations or relevant information in the Guide, tell us why in the box below.				

Overview of responses

Most people were unsure about inclusion of regulations on the fitness and capacity of owners and managers of children's homes. However, the majority of respondents agreed with the regulations as drafted on the fitness of those acting as directors of organisations delivering children's homes, the capacity of the home's manager and the capacity, skills and experience of the Responsible Individual.

Nearly three quarters of respondents felt that the information in the Guide needed further development.

Key issues raised:

- Respondents wanted much greater clarity on what 'full time day to day charge', 'fitness' and 'carry on' a children's home mean and how they will be judged;
- Respondents questioned whether removal of the cap on the number of homes a manager can run was consistent with aim of ensuring managers were not overstretched across too many homes; and
- How the fitness (good character and integrity) of Directors of children's homes will be assessed.

Government response

Responsible Individuals' capacity to oversee multiple homes generated a lot of comments from respondents. Respondents' biggest concerns were clarification of what was meant by 'full time day to day charge'; how their 'capacity' to oversee more than one home would be judged and how might this impact on existing management structures. Some emphasised the need for sufficient flexibility to deal with the wide range of models used to oversee homes. We agree and feel organisations should be able to judge what senior management structure works for them. However, Responsible Individuals must demonstrate that they can give each of their homes sufficient management time and supervision.

We acknowledge respondents' requests for clarification of how the fitness of directors of children's homes will be assessed. Fitness checks for directors include establishing whether they are of integrity and good character – the same is already required of individuals or partnerships running a children's home. Homes will therefore have existing procedures that help them establish 'integrity' and 'good character' and these checks should be applied consistently to the new director checks.

Campaign respondents' two most significant concerns in response to this section of the consultation were managers' capacity to run multiple homes and the need to allow further time for consultation to enable the sector to provide a more considered response. As a result of these concerns, we have clarified in the Guide that a manager of a children's home must be able to deliver the Quality Standards for each of the homes they manage;

one respondent commented, “Positive – registered managers being full time and not being stretched too thinly”.

Staffing

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
13(a) Do you agree with expanding the employment of staff Regulation to include further requirements relating to staff training and supervision?	170 (99%)	NA	0 (0%)	2 (1%)
13(b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 35?	43 (25%)	15 (9%)	110 (65%)	2 (1%)
13(c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to staff training and supervision?	39 (23%)	17 (10%)	111 (65%)	3 (2%)
Qualitative Questions				
13 (d) If the employment of staff regulation or the relevant information in the Guide needs further development, tell us what is needed in the box below.				
13(e) If you disagree with the employment of staff regulation or the relevant information in the Guide, tell us why in the box below.				

Overview of responses

Most people agreed with the expansion of this regulation although a majority had concerns with both Regulation 35 as drafted and the information in the Guide.

Key issues raised:

- The requirements and expectations of supervision and appraisal need further clarification; and
- The need to link material needed on training to practice and the ‘professional identity’ of a residential care worker.

Government response

Supervision and appraisal generated a very rich and helpful body of comments. Respondents clearly valued high quality supervision greatly and were keen to ensure effective supervision is available to all residential care workers.

“Really welcome these changes but the guide needs more in it about the need for staff to receive continuing professional training”.

Respondents’ key concerns were: the need for the frequency of supervision and appraisal to be clearly stated; explaining the meaning of ‘supervision of other professionals’ (teachers; social workers etc.); and the involvement of children in the appraisal process. We acknowledge these concerns and have amended the Guide to provide greater clarity about expectations regarding supervision and appraisal.

Campaign respondents felt that this section of our proposals needed to be more strongly connected to practice and the ‘professional identity’ of residential child care workers. We note these concerns, and plan to carry out further work around the needs of the workforce in the Implementation Support Programme.

Policies, records, complaints and notifications

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
14(a) Do you agree with our decision to modernise and remove prescription in some regulations for the management and administration of children’s homes?	49 (30%)	NA	0 (0%)	116 (70%)
14(b) Do you agree with the new draft regulation on keeping policies, records and review documents electronically as set out in Part 5 of the Regulation 40?	162 (95%)	6 (4%)	0 (0%)	3 (2%)

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
14(c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to areas we have modernised, especially keeping electronic records and clarifying the requirement for children to have access to a telephone?	160 (95%)	6 (4%)	1 (1%)	2 (1%)
Qualitative Questions				
14(d) If the modernised regulations or relevant information in the Guide need further development, tell us what is needed in the box below.				
14 (e) If you disagree with the modernised regulations or relevant information in the Guide, tell us why in the box below.				

Overview of responses

A majority of people were unsure about the decision to modernise and remove prescription in some regulations for the management and administration of children's homes although nearly all respondents agreed with both the Regulation as drafted and the information included in the Guide.

Key issues raised:

- Viability of inspectors checking whether each child has access to a working mobile phone; and
- Concern that these changes will not reduce the administrative burdens on homes.

Government response

Respondents queried whether inspectors checking if each child has a mobile phone charged and in credit was realistic and viable. The Guide makes clear that there need to be arrangements in place for children to be able to make telephone calls in private if their personal mobile phone is lost, out of credit or broken. The onus is on the home to provide this 'backup' in the eventuality that the child's personal mobile phone is unavailable.

Young people felt that there needed to be a more explicit focus on complaints with a requirement for swift, effective and transparent process. They also felt information on complaints and advocacy should be provided for young people without having to ask both

verbally and in writing. In response, we have amended the Guide to make clear that a home’s complaints procedure should be explained to children when they arrive and they should be regularly reminded of the procedure whilst living there. We have also made clear that children should be properly supported if they do want to make a complaint.

Campaign respondents felt the proposals suggested would not reduce administrative burden on children’s homes and would increase the amount of time needed for inspection.

Management of homes

Independent visitors

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
15(a) Do you agree with our decision to clarify Regulation 45 on visits by independent persons?	166 (98%)	NA	1 (1%)	3 (2%)
15(b) Do you agree with clarified draft Regulation 45?	48 (28%)	115 (68%)	4 (2%)	3 (2%)
Qualitative Questions				
15(c) If the clarified regulation needs further development, tell us what is needed in the box below.				
15(d) If you disagree with the clarified regulation, tell us why in the box below.				

Overview of responses

Most people agreed with our decision to clarify this Regulation but a majority felt Regulation 45 as drafted needed further development.

Key issues raised:

- The person conducting visits needs to be fully independent of the provider or involvement with the home’s area local authority.

Government response

Respondents had concerns about the independent status of visitors assessing the children’s home. The Government consulted in 2013 on a change proposing that a

person can be employed by a provider and can be classified as an independent person as long as their role remains separate from the day to day management and running of children’s homes. This was widely supported during the consultation. We therefore believe the current definition is the right one.

Professional Judgement

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
16(a) Do you agree with the inclusion of regulations that enable homes’ manages to use greater professional judgment?	164 (95%)	NA	2 (1%)	6 (3%)
16(b) Do you agree with revised draft regulation 46 – review of quality of care?	49 (30%)	7 (4%)	105 (63%)	5 (3%)
16(c) Do you agree with revised draft Regulation 42 – notification of a serious event?	153 (89%)	11 (6%)	4 (2%)	4 (2%)
16(d) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the review of quality of care and notification of a serious event	33 (20%)	16 (10%)	115 (69%)	3 (2%)
Qualitative Questions				
16(e) If the review of quality of care or notification of a serious event regulations or relevant information in the Guide need further development, tell us what is needed in the box below.				
16(f) If you disagree with the review of quality of care or notification of a serious event regulations or relevant information in the Guide, tell us why in the box below				

Overview of responses

A majority agreed with the inclusion of these regulations. A majority agreed with Regulation 42 as drafted (notification of a serious event) but most people had concerns with Regulation 46 as drafted (review of quality of care). Over two-thirds disagreed with the information included in the Guide.

Key issues raised:

- Respondents supported enabling registered managers to make professional judgements;
- Concern that removing specific requirements for notifications of serious incidents might result in confusion and compromise safeguarding;
- Respondents wanted greater clarity on who is responsible for notifying Ofsted of a serious event, including in the absence of homes registered manager; and
- Regulation 46 reviews should be required more frequently than bi-annually.

Government response

This topic generated a lot of debate. A number of respondents welcomed the greater focus on empowering registered managers to make best decisions.

“I do...agree that Registered Managers should be given the responsibility to make professional judgments”.

We acknowledge some respondents’ concerns about the frequency of reviews. We believe six monthly reporting to Ofsted strikes the right balance in line with their regular inspection cycle. However, the registered person may conduct a review sooner than six months if they feel it would be appropriate and as part of a process of continuous improvement. We will be keeping this under review as part of the implementation support for the Quality Standards.

Campaign respondents’ main concern was the removal of specific requirements for notifications and allowing providers to use their professional judgement on what should be notified and why. They argued this may potentially cause confusion and compromise safeguarding. We acknowledge this; the original recommendation will not be implemented and regulation will set out which serious events will require notification.

Welfare regarded and records retained

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
17(a) Do you agree with the inclusion of regulations to ensure that children’s welfare is regarded and their records retained when a home closes?	61 (35%)	NA	0 (0%)	111 (65%)

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
17(b) Do you agree with revised draft Regulation 50 – appointment of liquidators?	51 (31%)	108 (66%)	0 (0%)	5 (3%)
17(c) Do you agree with draft regulation 38 – children’s case records	162 (96%)	3 (2%)	0 (0%)	4 (2%)
17 (d) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to storage of children’s case records?	48 (29%)	5 (3%)	0 (0%)	111 (68%)
Qualitative Questions				
17(e) If the Regulations on appointment of liquidators or storage of children’s case records or relevant information in the Guide need further development, tell us what is needed in the box below.				
17(f) If you disagree with the Regulations on appointment of liquidators or storage of children’s case records or relevant information in the Guide, tell us why in the box below.				

Overview of responses

Nearly two thirds of people were unsure on the inclusion of regulations to ensure that children’s welfare is regarded and their records retained when a home closes. A majority felt that Regulation 50 on the appointment of liquidators needed further development. Nearly all respondents agreed with the draft regulation 38 on children’s case records but most were unsure about the information included on this in the Guide.

Key issues raised:

- There should be a requirement on the registered person to consult with the child about future plans for storing records if a home closes, taking into account their wishes and feelings; and
- Further information on data protection needs to be included in the Guide.

Government response

Detailing data protection and ensuring safe storage were the two main themes raised by respondents. In response, information has been included in the Guide on these areas.

Questions on costs and impact of introducing quality standards

Impact of introducing quality standards

Question	Agree	Agree but with further development needed	Disagree	Not sure
18(a) Do you agree that the revised regulations reflect the policy aims we have set out in paragraphs 3.1 and 3.2 of the consultation document?	43 (26%)	10 (6%)	111 (66%)	4 (2%)
Qualitative Questions				
18(b) If the revised regulations reflect the policy aims we have set out in paragraphs 3.1 and 3.2 of the consultation document but need further development, tell us what is needed in the box below.				
18(c) If you disagree that the revised regulations and standards reflect the policy aims we have set out paragraphs 3.1 and 3.2 of the consultation document, tell us why in the box below, if you have not already told us elsewhere.				

Overview of responses

A majority felt that the revised Regulations did not fully support the policy aims set out in the consultation.

Key issues raised:

- The Guide needs to be much clearer about how homes will be measured and evidenced against the standards; and
- Currently the Regulations and Guide are too focused on process rather than showing how the standards can be implemented in practice.

Government response

Some respondents, including children’s voice organisations, felt the Regulations and Guide were too focused on process rather than on the child. One respondent commented:

“[the standards] need to be focused more directly on the outcomes for children and young people”.

This was extremely useful feedback and significant work has been done to respond and make the Regulations and Guide more child focused; for example, the high level outcome in Regulations 6 and 14 have been reworded.

Cost of implementing quality standards

Question	Yes	No	Not sure
18(d) Will the proposed regulations incur additional costs for your organisation?	129 (80%)	16 (10%)	16 (10%)
Qualitative Questions			
18(e) What particular elements of the Regulations will have the biggest impact on the costs you incur?			
18(f) What would be the estimated resources or costs associated with these elements?			

Overview of responses

Over three-quarters of respondents felt the proposed changes to the Regulations would incur extra costs for their organisation.

Key issues raised:

- Respondents felt that training for staff and management time to review and update policies and procedures are likely to be the most significant costs resulting from this;
- Respondents estimates of the cost of implementing these proposals varied widely; and
- Respondents felt that the inspection framework was needed in order to make an accurate estimate of the cost implications of these proposals.

Government response

Respondents were clear that staff training and managerial time for updating policies and procedures were likely to be the most significant costs of implementing these changes.

There was a wide variation in the potential costs provided by respondents, ranging from one thousand to tens of thousands of pounds per staff member.

Campaign respondents felt that the inspection framework for the Regulations and the Guide would need to be available to accurately estimate the cost of implementation.

General comments and streamlining the Regulations

18(g) Is there anything else you wish to tell us about our proposals that you have not told us elsewhere?

A wide range of comments were made in response to this question, many of which have been raised elsewhere.

The key issues raised were:

- Concern about Ofsted inspection and interpretation against these standards and potential difference of opinion between inspectors and providers;
- The need for further consultation once the Ofsted inspection framework is available and the need for consensus between experts, providers and practitioners; and
- Changes needed to structure and content of the Regulations and Guide to meet the needs of specialist services and Secure Children's Homes.

We acknowledge respondents strong view that the Regulations and Guide need to better reflect the needs of specialist services, such as short breaks providers and Secure Children's Homes. We have amended the Guide to better reflect these settings needs. An annex about placing children in Secure Children's Homes will be included in the Guide.

18(h) If you have any further ideas for streamlining the Regulations and removing unnecessary burdens, please tell us in the box below.

Respondents did not provide significant suggestions for further streamlining of the Regulations.

Clarification and definition of language and terms

A large number of respondents, both online and at our events, were very clear about the need to provide greater clarity of language and further definition of key terms, raising this throughout the consultation.

Response on this issue comprised several strands:

- The need to clarify specific terms, for example 'persistently missing';
- Clarification of requirements, such time scales for professional supervision; and
- Clarification of how the standards apply in practice, for example who judges fitness of a director; clarification of the expected content of supervision or further information on best practice for challenging other agencies.

Recognising respondents' significant concern over this issue, where possible, we have addressed the specific clarifications requested in both the Regulations and the Guide.

Next steps

Since the consultation, we have continued to work with the sector on the Regulations and Guide. This has included speaking to organisations like National Association of Special Schools, Independent Children's Homes Association, providers in the sector and organisations concerned with the rights of the child. These organisations have been receptive to the changes made as a result and this has been very valuable making the Regulations and the Guide clearer, relevant and appropriate for the sector.

The government's aim, subject to Parliament's agreement, is that the new Regulations will come into effect from April 2015.

To help embed the changes and ensure all parts of the sector are able to respond successfully to the new requirements, we have commissioned a programme of implementation support to be provided from March 2015.

Monitoring and Evaluation

Research to evaluate the early impact of the Regulations and the care offered by homes is also planned. Subject to final decisions by ministers, the research will be commissioned by summer 2015 and report in 2016. The Department for Education will undertake a formal review of the Regulations by 31 March 2020.

Annex A – List of Respondents

Acorn Care and Education (Louise Hernon)
Acorn Homes (Group) LTD (David Knowles)
Anderida Adolescent Care (Kerry Shoesmith)
Anonymous
Anonymous
Anonymous (Secure Children's Homes)
Aurora Care (Karen Buckley)
Barford Childrens Services (Emma Carrington)
Beaufort Care Group (Andrew Dodd)
Biddle, David
Bournemouth Borough Council (Tony Jackson)
Bracknell Forest Council (Samantha Whitehouse)
Bradford MDC (Suzanne Lythgow)
British Association of Social Workers (Nushra Mansuri)
Broomfield, Heather
Buckinghamshire County Council (Mike Appleyard)
Burch, Jane
Caldecott foundation, The (Sarah Orriss)
Caldecott foundation, The (Helen Jones)
CALM Training Ltd (Alan Martin)
Care Afloat Ltd (Elaine Booth)
Care Focus Ltd (Tim Leigh)
Care Leavers Association, The (David Graham)
Cherish Childrens Care (Wendy Watson)
Cherish Childrens Care Ltd (Alexandra Wood)
Cherish Childrens Care Ltd (Peter Watson)
Cherry Cottage (Joanne Heyes)
Cherry Cottage (Lisa Hall)
Children's Services Development Group (Jessica March)
Children's Society, The
Clover Childcare Services Ltd (Dan Knight)
Cooke, Steven
Coram Voice (Nicola Wyld)
Cornwall Council (Tony Phillips-Jones)

County Council (Tracy Collins)
Dalepeak Ltd (Ed Nixon)
Darwin, Geoff (Essex County Council)
Derby City Council (Paul Whitehouse)
Derbyshire County Council (Mary Wilton)
Devon County Council (James Martin)
Dove Adolescent Services Ltd (Barbara Whittaker)
Durham County Council (Carole Payne)
Duty of Care Limited (Lesley Hollywell)
Duty of Care Limited (Rachael Jay)
East Lancashire CCG & Lancashire Care Foundation (Deborah Ross)
Essex County Council (Christine Seecharan)
Family Care Associates (Ed Nixon)
Finney, Rob
Footsteps a path to change 08 Limited (Lesley Hearne)
G4S Children's Services (UK) Limited (Ken Farrimond)
Garwood, Kaye
Gunning, Angela
Halliwell Homes Ltd (Andrew Constable)
Hampshire County Council (Susan Lomax)
High Field North East
Hillcrest (Beachlands) (James Barlow)
Hillcrest (Hayling Island) (Bret Noades)
Hillcrest Care Childrens Services (Kev Morrell)
Hillcrest Care Ltd (Royston Bayliss)
Hillcrest Childrens Services (Alyson Johnson)
Holibrook House Ltd (Bunmi Onanuga)
Holibrook House Ltd (Herman Allen)
Huntercombe Group, The (Lisa Powton)
Hutter, Leigh
Independent Children's Homes Association
Independent Children's Homes Association (Lynn Hollingworth)
Ion, Adele
J&R Care Ltd (Jane Parish)
Kemp, Ella
Kent County Council (Michael Thomas-Sam)

Keys Childcare (Julie Hamilton)
Keys Group (Deborah Young)
Keys Group (Helen Stewart)
Keys Group (Matthew Mills)
Keys Group (Tracey John)
Keys Group (Wendy Chambers)
Leeds City Council (Samantha Summers)
Leicester County Council (Angie Lymer-Cox)
Lioncare Group (Anne Ruthorford)
Lioncare Group (Barbara Fradgley)
Lioncare Group (Beverly Collins)
Lioncare Group (Matt Vince)
Lioncare Group (Sara Fletcher)
Lioncare Group (Sarah Jackson)
Lioncare School (Julia Holford)
London Borough of Barnet (Jasmin Cheung)
Mecklenburgh, Sharon
Morris, Clare
National Association of Independent Schools and Non-Maintained Special Schools (NASS) (Claire Dorer)
National Autistic Society, The (Daniel Leighton)
National Centre for excellence in Residential Child Care (Jonathon Stanley)
National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) (Jane Silvester)
National Youth Advocacy Service (Claire Hyde)
New Forest Care Ltd (Pakinam White)
New Horizons (NW) Ltd (Vicky Wilden)
North Lincolnshire Council (Diane Rees)
North West ADCS (Paul Bunker)
Nottinghamshire County Council (Childrens Social Care) (Vonny Senogles)
Oakwood Court College (Steve Johnson)
Ofsted (Steve Pearson)
Oldham Council (Steve Shaw)
Olliver, SL
Openshaw, Sharron
Options Group (Jane Worsley)
Oxfordshire County Council (Lindsay Truby)

Partridge, Maria
Peterborough City Council (Claire Young)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Abigail Brevitt)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Abigail Heard)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Annette Reed)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Christine Burley)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Deirdre Curran)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Donna Stevens)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (George Edwards)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Jamie Inch)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Janice Bevan)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Jodie Merrick)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Keith Burley)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Kim Hallen)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Larkin Stephanie)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Liz Finch)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Lucy Squire)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Lucy Wilson)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Lynda Waller)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Lyza Axford)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Michelle Norfolk)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Paul Smith)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Peter Farley)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Rachel Cole)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Rob Edwards)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Roland Sandercock)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Roz Hagley)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Sandra Appiah)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Simon Uren)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Simon Uren)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Siobain Kelly)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Zoe Taylor)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (P Hannan)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Carly Lavercombe)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Judith Beaumont)
Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Karen Burley)

Phoenix Learning and Care Ltd (Mark Parker)
Pilgrims Corner Group (Sarah Norman)
Positive Pathways Ltd (Kevin Epps)
Price, Martin (West Berkshire Children's services)
Priory Education Services (Stephanie Rickson)
Reflexion Care Group Ltd (Greg Watson)
Roc Northwest Ltd (Janice Jardine)
Roc Northwest Ltd (Katie Stephens)
Roc Northwest Ltd (Marcus Oates)
Roc Northwest Ltd (Paula Normanton)
Roc Northwest Ltd (Peter Whitworth)
Royal College of psychiatrists (Nigel Hughes)
Scope (Alissa Davies)
Seashell Trust
SENAD (Mark Flynn)
Social Care Services Group
South Tyneside MBC (Catherine Joyce)
Specialist Education Services Ltd (Steve Lord)
St Christopher's Fellowship (Ron Giddens)
Staffordshire County Council (Liz Kelay)
Team Tech Ltd (George Matthews)
Telford & Wrekin Council (Helen Didlock)
Tennant, Howard
Thoughts of Others Ltd (John Gilligan)
Together Trust (Robert Lunan)
Tucker, Marie (CICADA)
Voice (Ian Toone)
Voice (John Kemmis)
Warrington Borough Council (Fiona Waddington)
Watson, Peter
Wearing, Emmie (Roc Northwest Ltd)
Who Cares? Trust, The (Chloe Cockett)
Who Cares? Trust, The (Participation Group, The Who? Cares Trust)
Witherslack Group (Christopher Beattie)
Yong Foundations (Gary Bromley)
Young Alliance (Mike Fletcher)

Youth Justice Board (Rebecca Pryce)

Annex B – Detailed statistics

1 a) Do you agree with the inclusion of a Regulation about engaging with the wider system to ensure each child's needs are met?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 home	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+homes	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver (who has lived in a CH)	Child living in (or has lived in) a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (179 Responses)																				
Agree	33	21	42	0	3	7	4	8	5	1	0	4	9	0	8	3	0	30	178	99%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (84 Responses)																				
Agree	6	6	15	0	3	3	4	8	5	1	0	4	9	0	1	3	0	15	83	99%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1%

1 b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 5 – engaging with the wider system to ensure each child's needs are met?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 home	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+homes	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver (who has lived in a CH)	Child living in (or has lived in) a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (176 Responses)																				
Agree	3	3	6	0	2	3	4	6	5	0	0	2	6	0	1	3	0	5	49	28%
Agree bwfd	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	4	17	10%
Disagree	30	18	31	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	18	108	61%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (81 Responses)																				
Agree	3	3	6	0	2	3	4	6	5	0	0	2	6	0	1	3	0	5	49	60%
Agree bwfd	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	4	17	21%
Disagree	3	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	13	16%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2%

1 c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to Regulation 5?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (174 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	7	0	2	3	3	5	4	0	0	0	6	0	1	2	0	4	42	24%
Agree bwfd	0	0	4	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	0	4	3	0	0	1	0	7	24	14%
Disagree	30	18	31	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	17	107	61%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (79 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	7	0	2	3	3	5	4	0	0	0	6	0	1	2	0	3	41	52%
Agree bwfd	0	0	4	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	0	4	3	0	0	1	0	7	24	30%
Disagree	3	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	13	16%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1%

2 a) Do you agree with the inclusion of a standard on quality and purpose of care in the new Regulations?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (177 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	9	0	3	3	4	8	5	1	0	4	9	0	2	3	0	11	67	38%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1%
Not sure	30	18	33	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	17	108	61%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (82 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	9	0	3	3	4	8	5	1	0	4	9	0	1	3	0	11	66	80%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2%
Not sure	3	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	17%

2 b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 6 - the quality and purpose of care standard?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (176 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	9	0	1	3	3	6	5	0	0	3	6	0	1	3	0	7	52	30%
Agree bwfd	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	5	15	9%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1%
Not sure	29	19	33	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	17	108	61%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (81 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	9	0	1	3	3	6	5	0	0	3	6	0	1	3	0	7	52	64%
Agree bwfd	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	4	14	17%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	2	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	15	19%

2 c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the quality and purpose of care standard?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (176 Responses)																				
Agree	3	1	8	0	2	3	3	6	5	0	0	0	5	0	1	3	0	7	47	27%
Agree bwfd	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	3	17	10%
Disagree	30	19	33	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	19	112	64%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (81 Responses)																				
Agree	3	1	8	0	2	3	3	6	5	0	0	0	5	0	1	3	0	7	47	58%
Agree bwfd	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	3	17	21%
Disagree	3	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	17	21%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%

3 a) Do you agree with the inclusion of a standard on children's wishes and feelings in the new Regulations?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (177 Responses)																				
Agree	32	20	41	0	3	7	4	8	5	0	0	4	9	0	8	3	0	31	175	99%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (84 Responses)																				
Agree	6	6	15	0	3	3	4	8	5	0	0	4	9	0	1	3	0	16	83	99%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%

3 b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 7 - the children's wishes and feelings standard?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (178 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	7	0	3	3	4	6	5	0	0	1	5	0	1	3	0	8	51	29%
Agree bwfd	30	19	33	0	0	4	0	2	0	1	0	3	4	0	7	0	0	21	124	70%
Disagree	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (83 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	6	0	3	3	4	6	5	0	0	1	5	0	1	3	0	7	49	59%
Agree bwfd	3	4	9	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	7	33	40%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1%

3 c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the children's wishes and feelings standard?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (175 Responses)																				
Agree	1	0	7	0	2	2	3	6	4	0	0	0	4	0	1	3	0	4	37	21%
Agree bwfd	3	4	4	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	4	5	0	0	0	0	8	32	18%
Disagree	29	17	31	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	18	106	61%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (80 Responses)																				
Agree	1	0	7	0	2	2	3	6	4	0	0	0	4	0	1	3	0	4	37	46%
Agree bwfd	2	3	4	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	4	5	0	0	0	0	8	30	38%
Disagree	3	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	13	16%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%

4 a) Do you agree with the inclusion of a standard on education in the new Regulations?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (174 Responses)																				
Agree	32	21	41	0	3	6	4	8	5	1	0	4	8	0	8	3	0	28	172	99%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (80 Responses)																				
Agree	5	6	14	0	3	3	4	8	5	1	0	4	8	0	1	3	0	13	78	98%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%

4 b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 8 - the education standard?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (169 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	3	0	2	3	3	4	4	0	0	3	4	0	1	2	0	2	36	21%
Agree bwfd	1	1	5	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	0	5	22	13%
Disagree	29	18	32	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	18	108	64%
Not sure	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	2%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (74 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	3	0	2	3	3	4	4	0	0	3	4	0	1	2	0	2	36	49%
Agree bwfd	1	1	5	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	0	5	22	30%
Disagree	2	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	13	18%
Not sure	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4%

4 c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the education standard?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (168 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	3	0	2	3	3	5	4	0	0	0	2	0	1	2	0	2	32	19%
Agree bwfd	0	1	5	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	4	5	0	0	1	0	6	25	15%
Disagree	30	18	32	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	18	109	65%
Not sure	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (73 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	3	0	2	3	3	5	4	0	0	0	2	0	1	2	0	2	32	44%
Agree bwfd	0	1	5	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	4	5	0	0	1	0	6	25	34%
Disagree	3	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	14	19%
Not sure	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3%

5 a) Do you agree with the inclusion of a standard on enjoyment and achievement in the new Regulations?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (174 Responses)																				
Agree	3	3	9	0	3	3	4	8	5	1	0	3	8	0	1	3	0	11	65	37%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	30	18	32	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	18	109	63%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (79 Responses)																				
Agree	3	3	9	0	3	3	4	8	5	1	0	3	8	0	1	3	0	11	65	82%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	3	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	14	18%

5 b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 9 - the enjoyment and achievement standard?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (171 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	8	0	2	3	4	6	5	0	0	2	5	0	1	3	0	6	50	29%
Agree bwfd	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	2	10	6%
Disagree	30	18	32	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	17	108	63%
Not sure	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	2%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (76 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	8	0	2	3	4	6	5	0	0	2	5	0	1	3	0	6	50	66%
Agree bwfd	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	2	10	13%
Disagree	3	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	14	18%
Not sure	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3%

5 c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the enjoyment and achievement standard?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (170 Responses)																				
Agree	2	2	8	0	2	3	4	6	5	0	0	1	3	0	0	2	0	4	42	25%
Agree bwfd	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	5	0	1	1	0	4	17	10%
Disagree	30	18	32	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	18	109	64%
Not sure	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (75 Responses)																				
Agree	2	2	8	0	2	3	4	6	5	0	0	1	3	0	0	2	0	4	42	56%
Agree bwfd	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	5	0	1	1	0	4	17	23%
Disagree	3	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	14	19%
Not sure	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3%

6 a) Do you agree with the inclusion of a standard on health and well-being in the new Regulations?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (175 Responses)																				
Agree	33	21	42	0	3	7	3	8	5	1	0	4	9	0	8	3	0	28	175	100%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (80 Responses)																				
Agree	6	6	15	0	3	3	3	8	5	1	0	4	9	0	1	3	0	13	80	100%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%

6 b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 10 - the health and well-being standard?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (173 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	5	0	2	2	3	7	4	0	0	2	4	0	1	2	0	3	40	23%
Agree bwfd	0	0	4	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	2	5	0	0	1	0	5	22	13%
Disagree	30	19	32	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	18	110	64%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (78 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	5	0	2	2	3	7	4	0	0	2	4	0	1	2	0	3	40	51%
Agree bwfd	0	0	4	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	2	5	0	0	1	0	5	22	28%
Disagree	3	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	15	19%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1%

6 c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the health and well-being standard?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (172 Responses)																				
Agree	4	1	7	0	2	2	3	6	4	0	0	1	4	0	1	2	0	3	40	23%
Agree bwfd	29	20	35	0	1	5	0	1	1	0	0	3	5	0	7	1	0	22	130	76%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (77 Responses)																				
Agree	3	1	6	0	2	2	3	6	4	0	0	1	4	0	1	2	0	3	38	49%
Agree bwfd	3	5	9	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	3	5	0	0	1	0	7	37	48%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	3%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%

7 a) Do you agree with the inclusion of a standard on positive relationships in the new Regulations?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (177 Responses)																				
Agree	33	21	42	0	3	7	4	7	5	0	0	4	9	0	8	3	0	30	176	99%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (82 Responses)																				
Agree	6	6	15	0	3	3	4	7	5	0	0	4	9	0	1	3	0	15	81	99%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%

7 b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 11- the positive relationships standard?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (173 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	9	0	1	3	3	5	5	0	0	2	5	0	1	3	0	7	49	28%
Agree bwfd	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	3	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	5	17	10%
Disagree	30	16	33	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	17	107	62%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (81 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	9	0	1	3	3	5	5	0	0	2	5	0	1	3	0	7	49	60%
Agree bwfd	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	3	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	5	17	21%
Disagree	3	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	15	19%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%

7 c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the positive relationships standard?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (169 Responses)																				
Agree	1	2	9	0	2	3	3	6	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	5	41	24%
Agree bwfd	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	3	4	0	1	1	0	6	22	13%
Disagree	30	18	33	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	14	106	63%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (77 Responses)																				
Agree	1	2	9	0	2	3	3	6	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	5	41	53%
Agree bwfd	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	3	4	0	1	1	0	6	22	29%
Disagree	3	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	18%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%

8 a) Do you agree with the inclusion of a standard on the protection of children in the new Regulations?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (172 Responses)																				
Agree	33	21	42	0	3	7	4	8	5	1	0	4	8	0	8	2	0	25	171	99%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (80 Responses)																				
Agree	6	6	15	0	3	3	4	8	5	1	0	4	8	0	1	2	0	13	79	99%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1%

8 b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 12 - the protection of children standard?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (170 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	6	0	2	2	2	6	5	0	0	2	4	0	1	2	0	4	41	24%
Agree bwfd	0	1	3	0	0	1	2	2	0	1	0	2	5	0	0	1	0	6	24	14%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	1%
Not sure	30	18	32	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	13	103	61%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (79 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	6	0	2	2	2	6	5	0	0	2	4	0	1	2	0	4	41	52%
Agree bwfd	0	1	3	0	0	1	2	2	0	1	0	2	5	0	0	1	0	6	24	30%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	3	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	18%

8 c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the protection of children standard? (170 Responses)																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (170 Responses)																				
Agree	3	1	7	0	3	1	3	4	5	0	0	1	3	0	1	2	0	3	37	22%
Agree bwfd	0	2	3	0	0	2	1	3	0	1	0	3	6	0	0	1	0	8	30	18%
Disagree	30	18	32	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	13	101	59%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	1%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (80 Responses)																				
Agree	3	1	6	0	3	1	3	4	5	0	0	1	3	0	1	2	0	3	36	45%
Agree bwfd	0	2	3	0	0	2	1	3	0	1	0	3	6	0	0	1	0	7	29	36%
Disagree	3	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	18%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1%

9 a) Do you agree with the inclusion of a standard on leadership and management in the new Regulations?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (171 Responses)																				
Agree	33	20	41	0	3	7	4	8	5	0	0	1	9	0	8	3	0	28	170	99%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (77 Responses)																				
Agree	6	6	14	0	3	3	4	8	5	0	0	1	9	0	1	3	0	13	76	99%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%

9 b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 13 - the leadership and management standard?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (172 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	8	0	3	1	4	7	4	0	0	2	6	0	1	2	0	6	49	28%
Agree bwfd	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	3	11	6%
Disagree	30	18	33	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	18	110	64%
Not sure	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (77 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	8	0	3	1	4	7	4	0	0	2	6	0	1	2	0	6	49	64%
Agree bwfd	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	3	11	14%
Disagree	3	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	15	19%
Not sure	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3%

9 c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the leadership and management standard?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (170 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	8	0	2	2	3	4	5	0	0	0	4	0	1	3	0	3	40	24%
Agree bwfd	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	7	19	11%
Disagree	30	19	33	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	18	111	65%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (75 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	8	0	2	2	3	4	5	0	0	0	4	0	1	3	0	3	40	53%
Agree bwfd	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	7	19	25%
Disagree	3	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	16	21%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%

10 a) Do you agree with the inclusion of a standard on care planning in the new Regulations?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (174 Responses)																				
Agree	33	20	41	0	3	7	4	7	5	1	0	4	9	0	8	3	0	29	174	100%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (80 Responses)																				
Agree	6	6	14	0	3	3	4	7	5	1	0	4	9	0	1	3	0	14	80	100%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%

10 b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 14 - the care planning standard?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (173 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	7	0	2	3	3	6	5	0	0	2	7	0	1	2	0	6	49	28%
Agree bwfd	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	3	12	7%
Disagree	30	19	33	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	18	111	64%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (78 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	7	0	2	3	3	6	5	0	0	2	7	0	1	2	0	6	49	63%
Agree bwfd	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	3	12	15%
Disagree	3	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	16	21%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1%

10 c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the care planning standard?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (172 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	7	0	2	3	3	5	4	0	0	1	6	0	2	2	0	5	45	26%
Agree bwfd	30	19	34	0	0	4	1	2	1	0	0	3	3	0	6	1	0	21	125	73%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (77 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	7	0	2	3	3	5	4	0	0	1	6	0	1	2	0	4	43	56%
Agree bwfd	3	4	7	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	3	3	0	0	1	0	8	33	43%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1%

11 a) Do you agree with the need to amend Regulation 22 on restraint and deprivation of liberty?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (169 Responses)																				
Agree	33	21	40	0	2	7	3	7	4	0	0	2	8	0	8	3	0	25	163	96%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	3%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (74 Responses)																				
Agree	6	6	13	0	2	3	3	7	4	0	0	2	8	0	1	3	0	10	68	92%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	7%

11 b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 22– restraint and deprivation of liberty? (171 Responses)																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (171 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	7	0	1	0	1	5	2	0	0	1	6	0	1	2	0	2	33	19%
Agree bwfd	1	0	2	0	2	3	2	3	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	4	21	12%
Disagree	29	19	33	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	21	113	66%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4	2%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (76 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	7	0	1	0	1	5	2	0	0	1	6	0	1	2	0	2	33	43%
Agree bwfd	0	0	2	0	2	3	2	3	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	4	20	26%
Disagree	3	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	19	25%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4	5%

11 c) Do you agree with the information in the Guide on restraint and deprivation of liberty?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (170 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	6	0	1	0	2	5	2	0	0	0	4	0	1	2	0	2	30	18%
Agree bwfd	2	0	3	0	2	3	2	1	1	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	4	23	14%
Disagree	28	19	33	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	7	0	0	21	113	66%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4	2%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (75 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	6	0	1	0	2	5	2	0	0	0	4	0	1	2	0	2	30	40%
Agree bwfd	0	0	3	0	2	3	2	1	1	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	4	21	28%
Disagree	3	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	20	27%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4	5%

12 a) Do you agree with the inclusion of Regulations relating to the fitness and capacity of owners and managers of children's homes?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (173 Responses)																				
Agree	3	4	10	0	3	3	4	8	5	0	0	1	9	0	1	3	0	12	66	38%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	30	17	32	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	17	107	62%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (78 Responses)																				
Agree	3	3	10	0	3	3	4	8	5	0	0	1	9	0	1	3	0	12	65	83%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	3	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	17%

12 b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 27(2)(c) and 27(3) on the fitness of those acting as directors of organisations delivering children's homes?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (170 Responses)																				
Agree	6	4	12	0	2	3	4	7	5	0	0	0	9	0	1	2	0	11	66	87%
Agree bwfd	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	8	11%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
Not sure	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (76 Responses)																				
Agree	33	20	40	0	1	7	4	7	5	0	0	0	9	0	8	1	0	23	158	94%
Agree bwfd	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	7	4%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	2%

12 c) Do you agree with draft Regulation 29(3) on the capacity of the home's manager?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (168 Responses)																				
Agree	33	20	40	0	1	7	4	7	5	0	0	0	9	0	8	1	0	23	158	94%
Agree bwfd	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	7	4%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	2%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (73 Responses)																				
Agree	6	5	13	0	1	3	4	7	5	0	0	0	9	0	1	1	0	8	63	86%
Agree bwfd	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	7	10%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4%

12 d) Do you agree with draft Regulation 27(5) on the capacity, skills and experience of the responsible individual?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (169 Responses)																				
Agree	33	19	39	0	2	7	3	8	5	0	0	0	9	0	8	2	0	25	160	95%
Agree bwfd	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	6	4%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	2%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (74 Responses)																				
Agree	6	4	12	0	2	3	3	8	5	0	0	0	9	0	1	2	0	10	65	88%
Agree bwfd	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	6	8%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4%

12 e) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to the fitness and capacity of owners and managers?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (168 Responses)																				
Agree	1	1	7	0	2	3	4	7	4	0	0	0	8	0	1	1	0	4	43	26%
Agree bwfd	32	19	35	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	2	0	20	121	72%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1%
Not sure	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	2%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (73 Responses)																				
Agree	1	1	7	0	2	3	4	7	4	0	0	0	8	0	1	1	0	4	43	59%
Agree bwfd	5	4	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	5	26	36%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1%
Not sure	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4%

13 a) Do you agree with expanding the employment of staff Regulation to include further requirements relating to staff training and supervision?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (172 Responses)																				
Agree	33	21	41	0	3	7	4	8	5	0	0	2	8	0	8	3	0	27	170	99%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (78 Responses)																				
Agree	6	6	14	0	3	3	4	8	5	0	0	2	8	0	1	3	0	13	76	97%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3%

13 b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 35?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (170 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	5	0	2	3	3	7	4	0	0	1	6	0	1	2	0	4	43	25%
Agree bwfd	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	5	15	9%
Disagree	30	19	33	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	17	110	65%
Not sure	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES 75 Responses																				
Agree	3	2	5	0	2	3	3	7	4	0	0	1	6	0	1	2	0	4	43	57%
Agree bwfd	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	5	15	20%
Disagree	3	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	15	20%
Not sure	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3%

13 c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to staff training and supervision?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (170 Responses)																				
Agree	1	2	5	0	2	2	3	7	4	0	0	0	6	0	1	2	0	4	39	23%
Agree bwfd	2	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	5	17	10%
Disagree	30	19	33	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	18	111	65%
Not sure	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	2%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (75 Responses)																				
Agree	1	2	5	0	2	2	3	7	4	0	0	0	6	0	1	2	0	4	39	52%
Agree bwfd	2	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	5	17	23%
Disagree	3	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	16	21%
Not sure	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4%

14 a) Do you agree with our decision to modernise and remove prescription in some Regulations for the management and administration of homes?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (165 Responses)																				
Agree	1	1	8	0	2	3	3	8	5	0	0	1	7	0	0	3	0	7	49	30%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	30	20	33	0	1	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	0	0	19	116	70%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (70 Responses)																				
Agree	1	1	8	0	2	3	3	8	5	0	0	1	7	0	0	3	0	7	49	70%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	3	5	6	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	21	30%

14 b) Do you agree with the new draft Regulation on keeping policies, records and review documents electronically as set out in Part 5 Regulation 40?

	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (171 Responses)																				
Agree	33	21	41	0	2	7	2	7	5	0	0	1	7	0	8	2	0	26	162	95%
Agree bwfd	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	6	4%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	2%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (76 Responses)																				
Agree	6	6	14	0	2	3	2	7	5	0	0	1	7	0	1	2	0	11	67	88%
Agree bwfd	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	6	8%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4%

14 c) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to areas we have modernised, especially keeping electronic records and clarifying the current requirement for children to have access to a telephone?

	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (169 Responses)																				
Agree	33	21	42	0	2	7	3	7	5	0	0	1	6	0	8	2	0	23	160	95%
Agree bwfd	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	2	6	4%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (75 Responses)																				
Agree	6	6	15	0	2	3	3	7	5	0	0	1	6	0	1	2	0	10	67	89%
Agree bwfd	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	2	6	8%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3%

15 a) Do you agree with our decision to clarify Regulation 45 on visits by independent persons.																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (170 Responses)																				
Agree	32	21	42	0	2	7	4	8	4	0	0	2	8	0	8	2	0	26	166	98%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1%
Not sure	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	2%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (75 Responses)																				
Agree	5	6	15	0	2	3	4	8	4	0	0	2	8	0	1	2	0	11	71	95%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1%
Not sure	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	4%

15 b) Do you agree with clarified draft Regulation 45?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (170 Responses)																				
Agree	2	2	9	0	1	3	4	5	5	0	0	1	7	0	1	2	0	6	48	28%
Agree bwfd	30	19	32	0	1	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	0	0	19	115	68%
Disagree	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	2%
Not sure	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	2%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (75 Responses)																				
Agree	2	2	9	0	1	3	4	5	5	0	0	1	7	0	1	2	0	6	48	64%
Agree bwfd	3	4	5	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	20	27%
Disagree	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	5%
Not sure	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	4%

16 a) Do you agree with the inclusion of Regulations that enable homes' managers to use greater professional judgement?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (172 Responses)																				
Agree	33	21	41	0	1	7	4	8	4	0	0	1	8	0	8	3	0	25	164	95%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	3%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (77 Responses)																				
Agree	6	6	14	0	1	3	4	8	4	0	0	1	8	0	1	3	0	10	69	90%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	8%

16 b) Do you agree with revised draft Regulation 46- review of quality of care?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (166 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	9	0	2	2	3	8	4	0	0	1	4	0	1	1	0	9	49	30%
Agree bwfd	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	1	7	4%
Disagree	30	19	33	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	11	105	63%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5	3%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (74 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	9	0	2	2	3	8	4	0	0	1	4	0	1	1	0	8	48	65%
Agree bwfd	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	1	7	9%
Disagree	3	4	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	19%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5	7%

16 c) Do you agree with revised draft Regulation 42- notification of a serious event?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (172 Responses)																				
Agree	33	20	39	0	1	6	3	7	4	0	0	1	6	0	8	2	0	23	153	89%
Agree bwfd	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	11	6%
Disagree	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	2%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	2%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (77 Responses)																				
Agree	6	5	12	0	1	2	3	7	4	0	0	1	6	0	1	2	0	8	58	75%
Agree bwfd	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	11	14%
Disagree	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	5%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	5%

16 d) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to review of quality of care and notification of a serious event?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (167 Responses)																				
Agree	3	1	4	0	1	2	3	6	4	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	5	33	20%
Agree bwfd	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	2	0	2	16	10%
Disagree	30	19	36	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	19	115	69%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	2%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (73 Responses)																				
Agree	3	1	4	0	1	2	3	6	4	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	5	33	45%
Agree bwfd	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	2	0	2	16	22%
Disagree	3	4	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	21	29%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4%

17 a) Do you agree with the inclusion of Regulations to ensure that children's welfare is regarded and their records retained when a home closes?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (172 Responses)																				
Agree	4	3	9	0	3	3	4	8	4	0	0	2	7	0	2	3	0	9	61	35%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	29	18	33	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	6	0	0	19	111	65%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (77 Responses)																				
Agree	3	3	9	0	3	3	4	8	4	0	0	2	7	0	1	3	0	8	58	75%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	3	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	19	25%

17 b) Do you agree with draft Regulation 50- appointment of liquidators?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (164 Responses)																				
Agree	2	2	9	0	2	3	4	8	4	0	0	0	6	0	1	2	0	8	51	31%
Agree bwfd	30	19	32	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	16	108	66%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	5	3%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (70 Responses)																				
Agree	2	2	8	0	2	3	4	8	4	0	0	0	6	0	1	2	0	7	49	70%
Agree bwfd	3	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	16	23%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	5	7%

17 c) Do you agree with draft Regulation 38 - children's case records?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (169 Responses)																				
Agree	33	21	39	0	2	7	4	8	5	0	0	1	6	0	8	3	0	25	162	96%
Agree bwfd	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	2%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	2%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (74 Responses)																				
Agree	6	6	15	0	2	3	4	8	5	0	0	1	6	0	1	3	0	11	71	96%
Agree bwfd	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	3%

17 d) Do you agree with the information included in the Guide relating to storage of children's case records?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (164 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	7	0	2	3	4	6	4	0	0	1	6	0	1	3	0	6	48	29%
Agree bwfd	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	3%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	30	19	32	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	0	0	18	111	68%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (71 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	7	0	2	3	4	6	4	0	0	1	6	0	1	3	0	5	47	66%
Agree bwfd	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	7%
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Not sure	3	4	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	19	27%

18 a) Do you agree that the revised Regulations reflect the policy aims we have set out in paragraphs 3.1 and 3.2 of the consultation document?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (168 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	8	0	2	3	3	8	2	0	0	2	5	0	1	2	0	2	43	26%
Agree bwfd	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	2	10	6%
Disagree	30	17	32	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	7	0	0	20	111	66%
Not sure	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	4	2%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (74 Responses)																				
Agree	3	2	6	0	2	3	3	8	2	0	0	2	5	0	1	2	0	2	41	55%
Agree bwfd	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	2	10	14%
Disagree	3	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	19	26%
Not sure	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	4	5%

18 d) Will the proposed changes to Regulations incur additional costs for your organisation?																				
	CH private provider 1 home	CH private provider 2-4 homes	CH private provider 5+ homes	CH charity / vol sector provider 1 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 2-4 H	CH charity / vol sector provider 5+ H	CH LA provider 1 home	CH LA provider 2-4 homes	CH LA provider 5+ homes	Care leaver	Child living in (or was) in a CH	Vol sector children's org	LA	LSCB	Educating org	Health org	Police	Other	Total	
ALL RESPONSES (161 Responses)																				
Agree	32	19	38	0	1	6	0	3	3	0	0	0	2	0	7	0	0	18	129	80%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	3	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	2	16	10%
Not sure	0	2	1	0	1	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	16	10%
NON CAMPAIGN RESPONSES (66 Responses)																				
Agree	5	4	11	0	1	2	0	3	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	34	52%
Agree bwfd	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Disagree	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	3	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	2	16	24%
Not sure	0	2	1	0	1	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	16	24%



Department
for Education

© Crown copyright 2015

This document/publication (not including logos) is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

To view this licence:

visit www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3

email psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk

write to Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London, TW9 4DU

About this publication:

enquiries www.education.gov.uk/contactus

download www.gov.uk/government/consultations

Reference: DFE-00093-2015



Follow us on
Twitter: [@educationgovuk](https://twitter.com/educationgovuk)



Like us on Facebook:
facebook.com/educationgovuk